

MEMORANDUM

TO: ScholarShare Investment Board (“SIB”)
FROM: Kay Ceserani; Aysun Kilic; Inwoo Hwang;
Meketa Investment Group (“Meketa”)
DATE: September 22, 2025
RE: ScholarShare 529 Plan Watch Status Update

Summary

Meketa has conducted a review of the underlying funds in the ScholarShare 529 Plan as of June 30, 2025. The underlying funds have been assessed using the new guidelines¹, applied retroactively - meaning a fund’s placement and tenure on the Watch list has been adjusted to align with the new guidelines.

As of the end of the period, three funds qualify for Watch status, two existing and one new fund. Additionally, two funds no longer qualify for Watch status. Meketa recommends the Board place the T. Rowe Large Cap Value fund on Watch status, remove the Metropolitan West Total Return Bond and T Rowe Price Floating Rate funds from Watch and that the two funds continuing to qualify for Watch remain on the Watch list.

All funds listed below are discussed in more detail on the following pages.

Performance of Funds on Watch Status (As of 6/30/2025)

Funds on Watch Status	Plan Assets (\$M)	Qualifies for Watch Return	Qualifies for Watch Rank	Qualified for Watch Date	Watch Status Start Date	Number of Months Since Watch Began	Excess Perf. Since Watch Began (%)	Team Changes ²
Passive								
None	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---
Active								
Nuveen Large Cap Responsible Eq	\$378.6	Short, Medium	Medium	12/31/23	1/1/24	18	-2.2	✓
Metropolitan West Total Return Bond	\$493.5	N/A	N/A	3/1/24	4/1/24	15	0.3	✓
Vanguard High-Yield Corp Bond	\$458.4	Short, Medium	N/A	3/1/24	4/1/24	15	-0.4	✓
T Rowe Price Floating Rate	\$139.6	N/A	N/A	2/1/24	4/1/24	15	0.5	---
T Rowe Price Large Cap Value	\$345.2	Short, Medium	Medium	5/30/25	7/1/25	---	---	---

= recommending removal from Watch status, = recommending adding to Watch status

¹ Approved at the April 16, 2024, Board meeting.

² Indicates changes within the last 3-years.

Nuveen Large Cap Responsible Equity

Investment Role in Program:

The Nuveen Large Cap Responsible Equity fund (previously known as the TIAA-CREF Social Choice Equity fund) is offered as a single fund option and is utilized in the ESG Enrollment Year portfolios along with four other ESG fund/ETFs. It is one of two actively managed ESG funds in the program. The fund holds \$378.6 million of ScholarShare assets.

Objective:

The fund seeks a favorable long-term total return that reflects the investment performance of the overall US stock market while giving special consideration to certain ESG - environmental, social and governance - criteria. The fund's evaluation process favors companies with leadership in ESG performance relative to their peers. Under normal circumstances, the fund invests at least 80% of its assets in equity securities. The fund attempts to achieve the return of the US stock market as represented by its benchmark, the S&P 500 index, while investing in companies whose activities are consistent with the fund's ESG criteria.

Management:

The fund is managed by three portfolio managers all with significant experience and tenure with Nuveen. In June 2024 Nazar Romanyak replaced Lei Liao on the team.

Team	Role	Investment Experience	Firm Tenure
Jim Campagna, CFA	PM since 2005	34 years	20 years
Darren Tran, CFA	PM Since 2022	25 years	20 years
Nazar Romanyak, CFA	PM Since 2024	23 years	12 years

Investment Philosophy and Process:

- Partner with MSCI, an independent third-party research provider, to implement the ESG guidelines and criteria Nuveen has established for the fund.
- Utilizes proprietary quantitative process, coupled with an industry recognized risk model, helps capture the long-term performance of the benchmark.
- Curated eligible universe includes industry-specific ESG criteria and incorporates companies' exposure to ESG-related controversies¹ and involvement in certain controversial business activities.
- Leverages in-house expertise, independent third-party ESG research (MSCI), and industry specific ESG criteria to build a portfolio with higher ESG performance quality vs the benchmark.

¹ An ESG Controversy refers to an event or ongoing situation in which a company's operations and/or products allegedly have a negative impact on environments, social and governance metrics. Controversies assessments aim to measure companies' reputational/brand risk based on alleged involvement in adverse impact activities as reported by the media, nongovernmental organizations, civil society groups, academia, regulators and other stakeholders. (MSCI ESG Controversies and Global Norms Methodology, June 2024)

ESG Evaluation Process¹

Individual companies go through several layers of review for potential inclusion in their ESG products. To begin they start with the parent universe which consists of all of the companies in the index they are looking to replicate. Each security then goes through four levels of review:

1. Environmental, Social & Governance performance assessment (ESG Rating)

- ESG Ratings provides research, analysis and ratings of how well companies manage environmental, social and governance risks and opportunities.
- Companies are assessed on industry-specific ESG risks and opportunities and their ability to manage them relative to their peers.
- This assessment results in an industry-adjusted score, which is converted to a letter rating, between best (AAA) and worst (CCC).
- The minimum ESG rating for all eligible constituents is BB.

2. Controversy assessment

- Assesses the degree to which a company is involved in ESG-related controversies. A controversy is an instance or ongoing situation in which company operations and/or products allegedly have a negative environmental, social, and/or governance impact. This assessment also includes the determination of how well a company adheres to international norms and principles such as the UN Global Compact and ILO Core Conventions.
- Each company receives a Controversy score between 0 – 10, with 0 being the most severe controversy and 10 signaling no involvement in controversies.
- Companies that receive Controversy scores of 3 and higher are eligible for inclusion.
- ESG criteria is applied to determine potential eligibility for those companies that receive 1 or 2 Controversy scores:
 - Must have an ESG rating of A or higher; OR
 - Must have a 50% or greater ESG score improvement over the trailing 3-year period.

3. Controversial Business Involvement

- Companies exposed to any of the following controversial business activities are ineligible for investment:
 - Alcohol
 - Tobacco
 - Gambling
 - Nuclear Power
 - Thermal Coal
 - Military Weapons
 - Civilian Firearms

¹ Source: Nuveen

Determining the ESG Eligible Universe

The remaining securities are sorted within each GICS® sector in descending order of (1) ESG rating, (2) current eligible universe membership, (3) industry adjusted ESG scores, (4) controversy score and (5) free float adjusted market capitalization. Subsequently, within each sector securities are selected until the cumulative weight of selected securities is 50% of the aggregate sector weight in the Parent Universe.

4. Low Carbon Criteria

Further adjustments are made to the ESG-eligible universe to implement the low carbon criteria. This low carbon evaluation process considers both current and future carbon emissions from companies.

Current Carbon Emissions

Addressed through the incorporation of both absolute (metric tons) and intensity (metric tons/USD sales) emissions measurements.

The current emissions criteria are implemented in two ways, with the following outcomes:

- 50% improvement in relative carbon intensity (Scope 1 & Scope 2) of the eligible universe. This improvement will be achieved by removing the most carbon-intensive companies from the universe until the 50% reduction target is attained.
- 50% improvement in absolute carbon emissions (Scope 1 & Scope 2) of the eligible universe. This improvement will be achieved by removing the largest absolute carbon emitters from the universe until the 50% reduction target is attained.

Future Carbon Emissions

- This criteria focuses on companies' exposure to fossil fuel reserves. Companies that show evidence of owning reserves are excluded from the eligible investment universe (e.g., coal, oil, and natural gas companies).

Performance:

Over the second quarter of 2025, the fund saw positive returns on both absolute and relative to benchmark basis. The fund outperformed the S&P 500 index in the first two quarters of 2025, during which the fund ranked in the top quartile amongst peers. Given this strong performance year to date, the fund ranks above the peer group median over the trailing 1-year period, though the fund continues to lag its index over the trailing 1- and 3-year periods by (0.2%) and (1.2%) respectively, as well as falling below its peer group median over the same period. It qualifies for Watch status as its below three of the four performance standards (both short- and median term performance and medium-term peer).

Over the quarter, the fund returned 12.3%, outpacing the S&P 500 index by 1.4%. The fund's ESG and low carbon focus has resulted in the fund producing different performance results than the index over various time periods.

During the quarter, stock selection in the information technology and financials sectors were the primary contributors, with a relative underweight position in the energy sector also contributing. At the security level, not owning Apple (information technology) and Berkshire Hathaway (financials) were the top contributors as both

companies were down over the period. Both of these securities are excluded due to the fund's ESG criteria and controversies scores. To illustrate Nuveen's methodology in practice, below is information on the measures eliminating Apple for possible inclusion in the portfolios.

Apple's ESG evaluation is assessed on industry- and/or company-specific metrics including:

Privacy & Data Security

- Controversial Sourcing
- Human Capital Development
- Opportunities in Clean Tech
- Electronic Waste
- Governance
- Supply Chain Labor Standards

Apple is ineligible for inclusion based on its ESG score in combination with its poor controversy score.

Relative to peers, Apple is an industry leader in privacy & data security due to robust data security monitoring. The company also offers strong welfare benefits to its skilled workforce that it is very much reliant on. However, broader controversies severely weigh down its corporate behavior practices. These controversies relate to ongoing supply chain labor standards allegations.

The last two calendar years (2023 & 2024) were challenging for the fund on a relative basis, as companies that dominated performance of the overall markets the fund cannot hold based on their ESG score in combination with their poor controversy score. More specifically, not owning Apple, Meta or Alphabet were the largest detractors in 2023, while not owning Meta or Broadcom Inc. hurt relative performance in 2024.

Performance Results, Net of Fees
Ending June 30, 2025

Fund	Qtr	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Nuveen Large Cap Responsible Eq	12.3	15.0	18.2	15.2	20.3	26.5	(17.8)	22.5	18.2
S&P 500 (blend) ¹	10.9	15.2	19.4	16.2	20.9	25.7	(19.2)	26.0	24.4
Difference	1.4	(0.2)	(1.2)	(1.0)	(0.6)	0.8	1.4	(3.5)	(6.2)
MStar Rank	17	29	53	58	27	51	46	64	78

Recommendation:

Despite improved results, the fund continues to qualify for Watch. Therefore, **Meketa recommends the Nuveen Large Cap Responsible Equity fund remain on Watch status.**

¹ Russell 3000 index prior to March 1, 2024.

Metropolitan West Total Return Bond

Investment Role in Program:

The Metropolitan West Total Return Bond fund is one of five fixed income funds utilized in the Active Enrollment Year and Active Multi-fund portfolios. The fund holds \$493.5 million of ScholarShare assets.

Objective:

The fund seeks a favorable long-term total return through investing primarily in investment grade fixed income securities. Under normal circumstances, the fund invests at least 80% of its assets in fixed income securities. Additionally, the fund's portfolio duration typically ranges from two to eight years and the fund's dollar-weighted average maturity ranges from two to fifteen years.

Management:

The fund is managed by three portfolio managers all with significant experience and tenure with MetWest. In September of 2023, Jerry Cudzil and Ruben Hovhannisyan were added to the team as named portfolio managers in preparation for Laird Landmann and Stephen Kane's retirements in 2023 and 2024, respectively.

Team ¹	Role	Investment Experience	Firm Tenure
Bryan Whalen, CFA	PM since 2005	28 years	21 years
Jerry Cudzil	PM since 2023	28 years	13 years
Ruben Hovhannisyan, CFA	PM since 2023	19 years	18 years

Investment Philosophy and Process:

- Eligible universe spans across a broad range of security types (government issues, investment grade, high yield) as well as regions (US, international and emerging market debt) seeking the most attractive value propositions.
- Long-term focused, disciplined approach of applying both top-down and bottom-up analyses to identify and construct a portfolio of the most attractive risk-adjusted value securities.

Performance:

For a second consecutive quarter, the fund posted positive results over the quarter on both an absolute and relative to benchmark basis, returning 1.4% and outpacing the benchmark by 0.2%. As a result of the strong performance year to date, the fund beat the index over the trailing 1- and 3-year returns periods by 0.4% and 0.1%, respectively, and ranked above its peer group median over the trailing 1-year period. As a result, the fund no longer qualifies for Watch.

As the yield curve steepened over the quarter due to substantial volatility in April, the fund's overweight to longer parts of the yield curve (2- and 5-year) were rewarded and was the primary contributor to relative

¹ Stephen Kane, CFA retired effective December 31, 2024.

performance over the period. Additionally, the fund's continued defensive positioning in corporate securities, defined as an underweight allocation and bias towards non-cyclicals and large US banks also worked in its favor, insulating the fund from sharp spread widening periods.

The fund struggled in calendar year 2022, posting a (14.8%) return and lagging the index by (1.8%). The fund's longer duration positioning during a rising rate environment hurt performance, however the primary detractor over the period was security selection and a general overweight to non-agency MBS securities. The fund did rebound in calendar year 2023 where it saw strong performance on both an absolute and relative to benchmark basis, returning 6.0% and outpacing the index by 0.5%. Calendar year 2024 saw the fund trail the index, as rising rates again served as the primary headwind, with the portfolio's duration positioning acting as the primary detractor.

Performance Results, Net of Fees
Ending June 30, 2025

Fund	Qtr	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Metropolitan West Total Return	1.4	6.5	2.6	(0.7)	9.1	(1.1)	(14.8)	6.0	1.0
Bloomberg US Agg	1.2	6.1	2.5	(0.7)	7.5	(1.5)	(13.0)	5.5	
Difference	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.0	1.6	0.4	(1.8)	0.5	(0.3)
MStar Rank	48	39	75	81	29	66	77	60	85

Metropolitan West Total Return was originally placed on watch 15 months ago. As of June 30, 2025, the fund no longer qualifies for watch and has matched or outperformed the index over all trailing periods measured. The MetWest Total Return fund has been on watch for sufficient time and has consistently improved on both short- and medium-term relative returns. Therefore, additional monitoring for watch is not deemed necessary for this fund.

Recommendation:

The fund no longer qualifies for Watch status as performance across both trailing 1- and 3-year periods have improved above the index. As a result, **Meketa recommends that the Metropolitan West Total Return Bond fund be removed from Watch status.**

Vanguard High-Yield Corporate Bond

Investment Role in Program:

The actively managed Vanguard High-Yield Corporate Bond fund is one of three fixed income funds utilized in the Passive Enrollment Year and Passive Multi-fund investment portfolios. The fund holds \$458.3 million of ScholarShare assets.

Objective:

The fund seeks a favorable long-term total return via investing in a below investment grade fixed income securities. Under normal circumstances, the fund invests at least 80% of its assets in corporate bonds rated below Baa by Moody's. In terms of security maturities, the fund's high-yield securities are primarily short- and intermediate-term.

Management:

The fund is sub-advised by Wellington Management Company and Vanguard, where Wellington oversees two-thirds of the assets and Vanguard the remainder. Wellington had been the sole sub-advisor up until August of 2022, when Vanguard was added. There have been several changes in leadership at Wellington for this fund. In August 2022, a co-Portfolio Manager was added, Elizabeth Shortsleeve, to join lead Portfolio Manager, Micheal Hong, who ultimately left the fund in August 2023.

Team	Role	Investment Experience	Firm Tenure
Elizabeth Shortsleeve	Wellington - PM since 2022	18 years	17 years
Michael Chang, CFA	Vanguard - PM since 2022	22 years	7 years

Investment Philosophy and Process:

- Emphasis on higher credit quality and lower risk within the high-yield space.
- Employs a long-term focused, fundamental value approach and seeks to identify high-yielding companies with improving prospects.

Performance:

The Vanguard High Yield Corporate Bond fund was previously placed on Watch due to performance results being below the benchmark relative standards over both the short (rolling 1-year) and medium (rolling 3-year) periods. While the fund no longer qualified as of June 30, 2024, the fund was kept on Watch given the relative underperformance over the trailing 3-year period for continued monitoring. Due to mixed results over the last several quarters, the fund's trailing 3-year returns have again fallen below the acceptable threshold for over 9 consecutive months, resulting in the fund again qualifying for Watch.

The fund produced positive absolute returns over the most recent quarter returning 3.5%, which matched the Bloomberg US Corporate High-Yield index and ranked above its peer group median. Over the trailing 1-, 3- and 5-year periods the fund had mixed peer rankings and underperformed its index over each period.

Over the quarter, the fund's selection within energy, retailers and autos contributed to performance, offset by security selection within the technology sector. On a calendar year basis, the fund has lagged four of the last five years, trailing in 2020, 2021, 2023 and 2024.

Over calendar year 2024, the fund trailed the index by (1.8%) and ranked in the bottom quartile. Underperformance was attributable to security selection in the financials, energy and packaging segments.

Performance Results, Net of Fees
Ending June 30, 2025

Fund	Qtr	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Vanguard High-Yield Corporate	3.5	9.4	9.1	5.2	5.4	3.8	(9.0)	11.7	6.4
Bloomberg US Corp High Yield	3.5	10.3	9.9	6.0	7.1	5.3	(11.2)	13.4	8.2
Difference	0.0	(0.9)	(0.8)	(0.8)	(1.7)	(1.5)	2.2	(1.7)	(1.8)
MStar Rank	44	35	51	62	46	75	25	61	83

Recommendation:

The fund continues qualify for Watch as it breaches the Short- and Medium-term performance criteria. As a result, **Meketa recommends that the Vanguard High-Yield Corporate Bond remain on Watch status.**

T Rowe Price Floating Rate Bond

Investment Role in Program:

The T Rowe Price Floating Rate Bond fund is one of three US Large Cap Equity funds utilized in the Active Enrollment Year and Active Multi-fund investment portfolios. The fund holds \$345.2 million of ScholarShare assets.

Objective:

The fund primarily seeks high current income and secondarily capital appreciation by investing in floating rate securities. Under normal circumstances, the fund invests at least 80% of its assets in floating rate loans which are typically US dollar-denominated, though the fund may invest up to 20% of total assets in non-US dollar-denominated assets. Floating rate loans have interest rates that adjust periodically and generally the loans the fund invests in are rated below investment grade.

Management:

Team	Role	Investment Experience	Firm Tenure
Paul Massaro, CFA	PM since 2011	24 years	21 years

Investment Philosophy and Process:

- Disciplined, fundamental research centric approach to identifying attractive valuation opportunities.
- Leverage firm's equity and fixed income research groups to create a complete picture regarding the borrower's capital structure/prospects.
- Incorporate Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) factors in evaluation process as an additive filter.

Performance:

The T Rowe Price Floating Rate fund no longer qualifies for Watch status due to improved short-term results. Over the quarter and 1-year periods, the fund returned 2.5% and 7.8% respectively, outpacing the Morningstar LSTA US Performing Loans index by 0.1% and 0.4%, respectively. The positive performance on both absolute and relative to benchmark basis translated to peer universe rankings in the 23rd and 7th percentile, respectively. The fund continues to marginally trail the benchmark over the 3-year period lagging by (0.1%) but ranks in the top decile versus peers over the same period.

Over the quarter, security selection and overweight allocation in the 'other telecommunications' segment, as well as security selection within software and financials segments contributed to relative results, while selection in the information technology industry detracted on the margin.

Over the last five calendar years the fund has underperformed in three (2020, 2021 and 2023). Despite posting strong double-digit results, in calendar year 2023 the fund lagged the index by (1.2%), as credit selection in the information technology, entertainment and financial industries were the primary detractors over the period. 2024 saw the fund mirror the index over the full calendar year, as strong security selection

in information technology and services sectors were offset by security selection in health care, cable operators and financials segments.

Performance Results, Net of Fees
Ending June 30, 2025

Fund	Qtr	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
T. Rowe Price Floating Rate	2.5	7.8	9.8	7.0	2.4	4.7	(0.6)	12.5	9.1
Morningstar LSTA US Perf Loans	2.4	7.4	9.9	7.7	3.5	5.4	(0.8)	13.7	9.2
Difference	0.1	0.4	(0.1)	(07)	(1.1)	(0.7)	0.2	(1.2)	(0.1)
MStar Rank	23	7	8	20	25	42	10	34	12

The T. Rowe Price Floating Rate fund was originally placed on Watch in April 2024. However, the fund no longer qualified for Watch quickly in two quarters based on improved short-term (1 year) performance. On the other hand, the fund continued to lag the index over the trailing 3- and 5-year periods. Given the rapid recovery and the fund's underperformance over longer periods, it was determined further evaluation was needed to ensure relative performance continued to trend positively.

Recommendation:

While the fund has not qualified for Watch since September 30, 2024, the fund remained on Watch to be monitored specifically to ensure relative performance continued to trend positively. Since then, the fund has continued to match/outpace the index each quarter, as well as produce above median results versus peers. Therefore, **Meketa recommends the T. Rowe Price Floating Rate fund be removed from Watch status.**

T Rowe Price Large Cap Value

Investment Role in Program:

The T Rowe Price Large Cap Value fund is the only US Value fund utilized in the Active Enrollment Year and Active Multi-fund investment portfolios. The fund holds \$345.2 million of ScholarShare assets.

Objective:

The fund primarily seeks long-term capital appreciation by investing in common stocks in the Large Cap universe, with a secondary objective of providing income. Under normal circumstances, the fund invests at least 80% of its assets in securities of large-cap companies with value characteristics, defined as representation in an appropriate third-party value-oriented index. The fund defines large-cap companies as those that meet the minimum market capitalization requirements to be included in the MSCI USA Large Cap index or MSCI World Large Cap index.

Management:

Team	Role	Investment Experience	Firm Tenure
John D. Linehan, CFA	PM since 2004	38 years	27 years
Gabriel Solomon	PM Since 2021	23 years	21 years

Investment Philosophy and Process:

- Disciplined, long-term investment horizon approach seeking attractive prospects that may also be temporarily out of favor
- High conviction, bottom-up approach that may result in the fund's sector weights deviating materially relative to index
- Seeking companies with fundamental characteristics that appear to be undervalued relative to peers, identified as one or more of the following:
 - Low price/earnings, price/book value, price/sales, or price/cash flow relative to broader equity market, peers or company's own historical norms
 - Low stock price relative to company's underlying asset values or intrinsic value
 - Companies that may benefit from restructuring activity
 - Sound balance sheet and other positive financial characteristics

Performance:

The T. Rowe Price Large Cap Value fund newly qualifies for Watch as its performance over both short- (1-year) and medium-term (3-year) periods have trailed the index for 9 or more consecutive months. Over the quarter, the fund returned (0.2%), trailing the Russell 1000 Value index by (4.0%) and ranked in the bottom decile versus peers. The fund's trailing 1- and 3-year returns of 6.9% and 10.1% lagged the Russell 1000 Value index by (6.8%) and (2.6%) respectively, and rank below median for both periods.

Over the quarter, stock selection was the primary detractor, particularly in Health Care and Industrials, though security selection in 9 of the 11 sectors weighed on relative returns. At the security level, a lack of position in JP Morgan Chase was the primary detractor.

The fund has underperformed in two (2024, 2023) of the last five calendar years. In 2024, the fund's security selection in the Health Care and Industrials sectors were the primary detractors, while allocation decisions in Health Care, Communication Services and Utilities sectors hurt performance in 2023. At the security level, not owning JP Morgan Chase and Berkshire Hathaway was the primary detractor in both 2024 and 2023.

Performance Results, Net of Fees
Ending June 30, 2025

Fund	Qtr	1 Year	3 Years	5 Years	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
T. Rowe Price Large Cap Value	(0.2)	6.9	10.1	13.8	3.0	25.8	(4.8)	9.7	11.4
Russell 1000 Value	3.8	13.7	12.8	13.9	2.8	25.2	(7.5)	11.5	14.4
Difference	(4.0)	(6.8)	(2.7)	(0.1)	0.2	0.6	2.7	(1.8)	(3.0)
MStar Rank	96	93	84	57	48	54	41	62	79

Recommendation:

The T. Rowe Price Large Cap Value fund newly qualifies for Watch this quarter as the fund's short- and medium-term returns, as well as the medium term peer rank fall below the plan's monitoring thresholds. Therefore, **Meketa recommends the T. Rowe Price Large cap Value fund be placed on Watch status.**

KRC/AK/IH/mp

DISCLAIMER

THIS REPORT (THE “REPORT”) HAS BEEN PREPARED FOR THE SOLE BENEFIT OF THE INTENDED RECIPIENT (THE “RECIPIENT”).

SIGNIFICANT EVENTS MAY OCCUR (OR HAVE OCCURRED) AFTER THE DATE OF THIS REPORT, AND IT IS NOT OUR FUNCTION OR RESPONSIBILITY TO UPDATE THIS REPORT. THE INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN, INCLUDING ANY OPINIONS OR RECOMMENDATIONS, REPRESENTS OUR GOOD FAITH VIEWS AS OF THE DATE OF THIS REPORT AND IS SUBJECT TO CHANGE AT ANY TIME. ALL INVESTMENTS INVOLVE RISK, AND THERE CAN BE NO GUARANTEE THAT THE STRATEGIES, TACTICS, AND METHODS DISCUSSED HERE WILL BE SUCCESSFUL.

THE INFORMATION USED TO PREPARE THIS REPORT MAY HAVE BEEN OBTAINED FROM INVESTMENT MANAGERS, CUSTODIANS, AND OTHER EXTERNAL SOURCES. SOME OF THIS REPORT MAY HAVE BEEN PRODUCED WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (“AI”) TECHNOLOGY. WHILE WE HAVE EXERCISED REASONABLE CARE IN PREPARING THIS REPORT, WE CANNOT GUARANTEE THE ACCURACY, ADEQUACY, VALIDITY, RELIABILITY, AVAILABILITY, OR COMPLETENESS OF ANY INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN, WHETHER OBTAINED EXTERNALLY OR PRODUCED BY THE AI.

THE RECIPIENT SHOULD BE AWARE THAT AI-GENERATED CONTENT MAY NOT HAVE CONSIDERED ALL RISK FACTORS. THE RECIPIENT IS ADVISED TO PERFORM THEIR OWN DUE DILIGENCE AND CONSULT WITH PROFESSIONAL ADVISORS BEFORE MAKING ANY FINANCIAL DECISIONS OR TAKING ANY ACTION BASED ON THE CONTENT OF THIS REPORT. WE BELIEVE THE INFORMATION TO BE FACTUAL AND UP TO DATE BUT DO NOT ASSUME ANY RESPONSIBILITY FOR ERRORS OR OMISSIONS IN THE CONTENT PRODUCED BY AI TECHNOLOGY. UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHALL WE BE LIABLE FOR ANY SPECIAL, DIRECT, INDIRECT, CONSEQUENTIAL, OR INCIDENTAL DAMAGES OR ANY DAMAGES WHATSOEVER, WHETHER IN AN ACTION OF CONTRACT, NEGLIGENCE, OR OTHER TORT, ARISING OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE USE OF AI-GENERATED CONTENT. PLEASE REMEMBER, AI TECHNOLOGY IS NOT A SUBSTITUTE FOR HUMAN EXPERTISE. IT IS IMPORTANT FOR THE RECIPIENT TO CRITICALLY EVALUATE THE INFORMATION PROVIDED.

CERTAIN INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS REPORT MAY CONSTITUTE “FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS,” WHICH CAN BE IDENTIFIED BY THE USE OF TERMINOLOGY SUCH AS “MAY,” “WILL,” “SHOULD,” “EXPECT,” “AIM,” “ANTICIPATE,” “TARGET,” “PROJECT,” “ESTIMATE,” “INTEND,” “CONTINUE,” OR “BELIEVE,” OR THE NEGATIVES THEREOF OR OTHER VARIATIONS THEREON OR COMPARABLE TERMINOLOGY. ANY FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS, FORECASTS, PROJECTIONS, VALUATIONS, OR RESULTS IN THIS REPORT ARE BASED UPON CURRENT ASSUMPTIONS. CHANGES TO ANY ASSUMPTIONS MAY HAVE A MATERIAL IMPACT ON FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS, FORECASTS, PROJECTIONS, VALUATIONS, OR RESULTS. ACTUAL RESULTS MAY THEREFORE BE MATERIALLY DIFFERENT FROM ANY FORECASTS, PROJECTIONS, VALUATIONS, OR RESULTS IN THIS REPORT.

PERFORMANCE DATA CONTAINED HEREIN REPRESENT PAST PERFORMANCE. PAST PERFORMANCE IS NO GUARANTEE OF FUTURE RESULTS.